



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Transportation Co. v. Standard Oil Co., 56 W. Va., 611. Contra: *Chambers v. Baldwin*, 91 Ky. 121; *Boyson v. Thorn*, 98 Cal. 578. The difference between the two remedies then, as established by the principal case, is that an action for slander of title will lie only where no contract exists, whereas an action for inducing breach presupposes the existence of a contract.

TRADE-MARKS—CONSTRUCTION OF STATUTE.—The Act of Feb. 20, 1905 (34 Stat. 1251) provided that registration should not be refused to trade-marks otherwise entitled thereto unless such mark should consist "of any design or picture that has been or may hereafter be adopted by any fraternal society as its emblem." Application was made by Given for registration of a mark in October 1911, and was still pending at the time of the amendment of the Act of 1905 by the Act of January 8, 1913, (37 Stat. 649), which provided that registration should not be refused unless the mark should consist "of any design or picture that has been or may hereafter be adopted by any fraternal society as its emblem, or of any name, distinguishing mark, character, emblem, colors, flag, or banner adopted by any institution, organization, club, or society which was incorporated in any State in the United States prior to the date of the adoption and use by the applicant." The New York Athletic Club, which seems to come under the classification of the latter act, but not of the former, protested against the registration of Given's mark (which the Club had used for many years before Given's use had begun) and the Patent Office refused registration, whereupon Given appealed to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia. *Held*, that the refusal was proper. *John M. Given, Inc. v. The New York Athletic Club*, (App. D. C. 1914), 210 Off. Gaz. 1067.

This appears to be the first decision under the amendment of 1913, and the court held that "the proceedings leading up to the registration of a trade-mark are mere matters of procedure that may be changed or abolished, and, unless expressly exempted from the operation of an amendatory statute, pending cases will be governed by it to the same extent as will be future cases arising under it." This does not, however, touch the question of legislative intent to affect pending applications. There is no clear retroactive intent expressed in the amendment of 1913; it is a general principle that statutes will be construed prospectively, in the absence of a clear intent to the contrary. *U. S. v. Heth*, 3 Cranch 399; *De Ferranti v. Lyndmark*, 30 App. D. C. 417. In the latter case, which is the only one cited by the court on the point of construction, it was held that an application for a patent in this country of a foreign invention patented abroad, filed under an act making the United States patent date from the time of filing here, was not affected by an amendment, passed during the pendency of the application, making such patent date from the time of filing abroad.

WILLS—EFFECT OF CODICIL ON LAPSED RESIDUARY ESTATE.—A codicil executed after the decease of one of the residuary devisees, which recites his death without further disposition of the residue, carries the lapsed interest